IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Buell

Applicant: Serial No: Boyce et al. 08/056,029

April 30, 1993

Paper No: Group: Examiner:

Docket No: FM-112J

1502 Shelborne

Filed: For:

A REINFORCED JOINT FOR COMPOSITE STRUCTURES AND

METHOD OF JOINING COMPOSITE

PARTS

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Washington, DC 20231

2-11-98

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, DC 20231, on $\frac{1/23/98}{2}$.

Leslie Ramos

APPLICANT'S REPLY TO EXAMINER'S ANSWER

This reply is response to the Examiner's answer mailed November 28, 1997 before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences.

The Examiner states at page two, paragraph 2, that the brief did not contain a statement identifying related appeals and interferences which directly affect or will be directly affected by a decision in the pending appeal.

However, at page three, paragraph two of applicant's appeal brief, applicant states that applicant does not believe that there are any pending appeals or interferences which will directly effect or be directly effected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal of the subject application.

At page two, paragraph seven of the Examiner's answer,

the Examiner states that the appellant's brief does not include a statement that the grouping of claims does not stand or fall together and reasons in support thereof.

However, at page five, paragraph seven, applicant states that the rejected claims do not stand or fall together and each claim is separately patentable. Moreover, applicant sets forth the reasons for separate patentability, required by MPEP 1206 (7), in the argument at pages 10-15.

Accordingly, the claims do not stand or fall together.

If for any reason this Correspondence is found to be incomplete, or if at any time it appears that a telephone conference with counsel would help advance prosecution, please telephone the undersigned or his associate, Joseph S. Iandiorio, collect in Waltham, Massachusetts, (781) 890-5678.

Respectfully submitted,

Kirk Teska

Reg. No. 36,291



landiorio & Teska

260 BEAR HILL ROAD WALTHAM, MA 02154 (617) 890-5678

FAX (617) 890-1150

PATENT, TRADEMARK & COPYRIGHT COUNSEL

January 23, 1998

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Washington, DC 20231

SUBJECT:

Applicant:

Boyce et al.

Serial No:

08/056,029

Filed:

April 30, 1993

For:

A REINFORCED JOINT FOR COMPOSITE

STRUCTURES AND METHOD OF JOINING

COMPOSITE PARTS

Examiner:

Shelborne

Group:

1502

Docket No:

FM-112J

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is Applicant's Reply to Examiner's Answer in response to the Examiner's Answer mailed November 28, 1997, in the subject application.

If for any reason this Correspondence is found to be INCOMPLETE, or if at any time it appears that a TELEPHONE CONFERENCE with counsel would help advance prosecution, please telephone the undersigned or his associate, Joseph S. Iandiorio, collect in Waltham, Massachusetts (781) 890-5678.

If any payment during prosecution is found to be incorrect, please charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to my Deposit Account No. 09-0002. A copy of this letter is enclosed for use by the Finance Branch in the event that it is necessary to make any charge or credit to my deposit account. In addition, pursuant to Rule 1.136(a)(3), the Office is hereby authorized to treat any future reply requiring an extension of time as incorporating a request therefor. Also, any request or Petition for an Extension of Time notwithstanding an inadvertent reference in the Petition to a shorter period of time is to be treated as requesting the appropriate length of time.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks January 23, 1998 Page 2

Kindly acknowledge receipt of the foregoing by returning the enclosed self-addressed postcard.

Very truly yours,

Kirk Teska

EF:lr Enclosures